



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/282,619                 | 03/31/1999       | QUAN G. CUNG         | AT9-99-037             | 8855            |  |
| 7                          | 11/05/2003       |                      | EXAMINER               |                 |  |
| BRACEWELL & PATTERSON LLP  |                  |                      | DAY, HERNG DER         |                 |  |
| INTELLECTU<br>P.O. Box 969 | JAL PROPERTY IAW |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  |                 |  |
| Austin, TX 78767-0969      |                  |                      | 2123                   | 19              |  |
|                            |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/05/200 | 3 ' /           |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |  | 1000  |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |
| Advisory Action   | 09/282,619   | CUNG ET AL.  |   |
| namedly neads   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |
|   | Hemg-der Day   | 2123   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this application application () a timely filed amendment which  | ation. A proper reply<br>h places the applica  | y to a<br>ition in                                |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe<br>date on which the petition under 37 CF<br>of extension and the corresponding amo | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The approper to the fee. | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension |
| fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C  | ce later than three months after the mai<br>CFR 1.704(b).  | ling date of the final reje  |   |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF  | •  |  |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |   |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (   | see NOTE below);   |   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | pelow);  |  |   |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or sir   | nplifying the                                     |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:  | ing a corresponding number of fi   | inally rejected claim  | S.  |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | tion(s):   |  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | • • •  | eparate, timely filed  | amendment   |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Arc   |  |  |   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |  |  |   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |  | and an  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |  |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  | 1   |
| 8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\_\_\_$ is  | a) approved or b) disapp   | roved by the Exami   | ned   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  | 10 0  |
| 10. Other:  |  | PALS   | PK.D.MINER  |
|   |  | TIGHOONTENT  | ENTER   |
|   |  | HUGHONES<br>HUGHONES<br>PRIMARY PATENT<br>TECHNOLOGY C   | ,-  |
|   | •  | PRINCHNO   |   |